



# Map the Meal Gap 2017:

Overall Food Insecurity in South Dakota by County in 2015 <sup>1</sup>



| County        | Population | Food insecurity rate | Estimated number food insecure individuals (rounded) | Likely Income Eligibility for Federal Nutrition Assistance <sup>2</sup> |   |  |
|---------------|------------|----------------------|--|---|---|--|
|               |            |                      |  | % below 130% poverty<br><i>SNAP, WIC, free school meals, CSFP, TEAP</i> | % between 130% and 185% poverty<br><i>WIC, reduced price school meals</i> | % above 185% poverty<br><i>Charitable Response</i> |
| Aurora        | 2,729      | 8.4%                 | 230  | 50%   | 21%   | 29%  |
| Beadle        | 18,168     | 12.3%                | 2,240  | 62%   | 8%  | 30%  |
| Bennett       | 3,438      | 19.7%                | 680  | 76%   | 8%  | 17%  |
| Bon Homme     | 7,013      | 9.6%                 | 680  | 43%   | 16%   | 42%  |
| Brookings     | 33,046     | 13.3%                | 4,380  | 51%   | 14%   | 35%  |
| Brown         | 38,060     | 10.7%                | 4,080  | 43%   | 18%   | 39%  |
| Brule         | 5,330      | 11.8%                | 630  | 58%   | 11%   | 32%  |
| Buffalo       | 2,038      | 22.0%                | 450  | 75%   | 25%   | 0%   |
| Butte         | 10,292     | 10.5%                | 1,080  | 53%   | 31%   | 16%  |
| Campbell      | 1,548      | 9.9%                 | 150  | 54%   | 26%   | 20%  |
| Charles Mix   | 9,239      | 13.8%                | 1,280  | 62%   | 19%   | 19%  |
| Clark         | 3,625      | 11.8%                | 430  | 52%   | 10%   | 38%  |
| Clay          | 14,011     | 17.0%                | 2,390  | 61%   | 7%  | 32%  |
| Codington     | 27,750     | 11.2%                | 3,110  | 40%   | 27%   | 33%  |
| Corson        | 4,149      | 22.5%                | 930  | 84%   | 4%  | 12%  |
| Custer        | 8,394      | 10.1%                | 850  | 38%   | 14%   | 48%  |
| Davison       | 19,787     | 11.9%                | 2,350  | 50%   | 20%   | 31%  |
| Day           | 5,618      | 14.4%                | 810  | 59%   | 10%   | 31%  |
| Deuel         | 4,341      | 9.7%                 | 420  | 38%   | 31%   | 32%  |
| Dewey         | 5,579      | 20.7%                | 1,160  | 68%   | 21%   | 11%  |
| Douglas       | 2,973      | 9.4%                 | 280  | 39%   | 31%   | 30%  |
| Edmunds       | 4,018      | 9.8%                 | 390  | 51%   | 11%   | 38%  |
| Fall River    | 6,906      | 12.9%                | 890  | 50%   | 12%   | 38%  |
| Faulk         | 2,359      | 11.0%                | 260  | 57%   | 18%   | 25%  |
| Grant         | 7,227      | 9.3%                 | 670  | 43%   | 19%   | 38%  |
| Gregory       | 4,226      | 13.3%                | 560  | 63%   | 19%   | 19%  |
| Haakon        | 2,083      | 11.6%                | 240  | 65%   | 27%   | 8%   |
| Hamlin        | 5,982      | 8.9%                 | 530  | 38%   | 32%   | 30%  |
| Hand          | 3,375      | 10.1%                | 340  | 38%   | 18%   | 44%  |
| Hanson        | 3,386      | 10.3%                | 350  | 52%   | 26%   | 22%  |
| Harding       | 1,328      | 11.1%                | 150  | 54%   | 5%  | 42%  |
| Hughes        | 17,466     | 10.7%                | 1,880  | 41%   | 9%  | 51%  |
| Hutchinson    | 7,226      | 10.8%                | 780  | 47%   | 17%   | 35%  |
| Hyde          | 1,413      | 10.2%                | 140  | 52%   | 1%  | 47%  |
| Jackson       | 3,237      | 17.6%                | 570  | 78%   | 7%  | 15%  |
| Jerauld       | 2,031      | 9.4%                 | 190  | 36%   | 24%   | 41%  |
| Jones         | 781        | 12.0%                | 90   | 56%   | 21%   | 23%  |
| Kingsbury     | 5,107      | 10.0%                | 510  | 44%   | 14%   | 42%  |
| Lake          | 12,086     | 11.8%                | 1,430  | 50%   | 8%  | 43%  |
| Lawrence      | 24,645     | 11.8%                | 2,910  | 45%   | 24%   | 31%  |
| Lincoln       | 49,874     | 7.9%                 | 3,950  | 28%   | 14%   | 58%  |
| Lyman         | 3,837      | 14.2%                | 550  | 62%   | 21%   | 17%  |
| McCook        | 5,613      | 9.0%                 | 510  | 38%   | 28%   | 34%  |
| McPherson     | 2,263      | 13.5%                | 310  | 56%   | 12%   | 32%  |
| Marshall      | 4,701      | 11.6%                | 550  | 51%   | 9%  | 41%  |
| Meade         | 26,381     | 10.6%                | 2,800  | 45%   | 19%   | 37%  |
| Mellette      | 2,086      | 20.4%                | 420  | 82%   | 2%  | 16%  |
| Miner         | 2,306      | 10.1%                | 230  | 47%   | 29%   | 25%  |
| Minnehaha     | 178,942    | 11.6%                | 20,830   | 44%   | 17%   | 39%  |
| Moody         | 6,439      | 11.6%                | 750  | 51%   | 28%   | 21%  |
| Oglala Lakota | 14,153     | 27.5%                | 3,890  | 91%   | 3%  | 6%   |
| Pennington    | 106,085    | 11.5%                | 12,160   | 50%   | 19%   | 31%  |
| Perkins       | 2,981      | 11.7%                | 350  | 53%   | 26%   | 21%  |
| Potter        | 2,307      | 10.5%                | 240  | 42%   | 26%   | 32%  |
| Roberts       | 10,318     | 13.8%                | 1,430  | 61%   | 15%   | 24%  |
| Sanborn       | 2,339      | 10.1%                | 240  | 45%   | 19%   | 37%  |
| Spink         | 6,570      | 11.1%                | 730  | 55%   | 16%   | 29%  |

| County                         | Population     | Food insecurity rate | Estimated number food insecure individuals (rounded) | Likely Income Eligibility for Federal Nutrition Assistance <sup>2</sup>  |   |  |
|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|--|--|---|--|
|                                |                |                      |  | % below 130% poverty<br><i>SNAP, WIC, free school meals, CSFP, TEFAP</i> | % between 130% and 185% poverty<br><i>WIC, reduced price school meals</i> | % above 185% poverty<br><i>Charitable Response</i> |
| Stanley                        | 2,962          | 8.9%                 | 260  | 36%  | 17%   | 48%  |
| Sully                          | 1,469          | 9.5%                 | 140  | 31%  | 21%   | 49%  |
| Todd                           | 9,942          | 24.0%                | 2,390  | 85%  | 8%  | 8%   |
| Tripp                          | 5,504          | 14.5%                | 800  | 68%  | 6%  | 26%  |
| Turner                         | 8,302          | 9.6%                 | 800  | 42%  | 19%   | 39%  |
| Union                          | 14,842         | 8.9%                 | 1,320  | 27%  | 15%   | 58%  |
| Walworth                       | 5,495          | 12.8%                | 700  | 42%  | 15%   | 43%  |
| Yankton                        | 22,636         | 11.7%                | 2,660  | 46%  | 18%   | 36%  |
| Ziebach                        | 2,833          | 20.2%                | 570  | 86%  | 8%  | 6%   |
| <b>State Total<sup>6</sup></b> | <b>858,469</b> | <b>12.1%</b>         | <b>104,140</b>                                       | <b>48.5%</b>   | <b>14.9%</b>  | <b>36.6%</b>                                       |

For additional data and maps by county, state, and congressional district, please visit [www.feedingamerica.org/mapthegap](http://www.feedingamerica.org/mapthegap).

Gundersen, C., A. Dewey, A. Crumbaugh, M. Kato & E. Engelhard. *Map the Meal Gap 2017: Food Insecurity and Child Food Insecurity Estimates at the County Level*. Feeding America, 2017. This research is generously supported by The Howard G. Buffett Foundation and Nielsen.

<sup>1</sup>Map the Meal Gap's food insecurity rates are determined using data from the 2001-2015 Current Population Survey on individuals in food insecure households; data from the 2015 American Community Survey on median household incomes, poverty rates, homeownership, and race and ethnic demographics; and 2015 data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics on unemployment rates.

<sup>2</sup>Numbers reflect percentage of food insecure individuals living in households with incomes within the income bands indicated. Eligibility for federal nutrition programs is determined in part by these income thresholds which can vary by state.

<sup>6</sup>Population and food insecurity data in the state totals row do not reflect the sum of all counties in that state. The state totals are aggregated from the congressional districts data in that state. All data in the state totals row pertaining to the cost of food or the "Meal Gap" reflect state-level data and are not aggregations of either counties or congressional districts.