Map the Meal Gap 2013

Idaho Food Insecurity by County in 2011 [1]

County	Population	Food insecurity rate[2]	Estimated number food insecure individuals (rounded)	Income within the food insecure population[3]		
				% below SNAP threshold of 130% poverty	% between 130% and 185% poverty	% above Nutrition Pgm threshold of 185% poverty
Ada	388,174	15.0%	58,090	37%	19%	45%
Adams	3,980	19.7%	780	33%	29%	38%
Bannock	81,730	15.5%	12,660	45%	19%	36%
Bear Lake	6,013	12.5%	750	49%	29%	23%
Benewah	9,293	18.9%	1,760	48%	32%	21%
Bingham	45,002	14.1%	6,340	49%	22%	29%
Blaine	21,354	14.2%	3,040	31%	15%	55%
Boise	7,094	16.5%	1,170	41%	16%	43%
Bonner	40,849	18.1%	7,410	43%	22%	36%
Bonneville	102,422	13.6%	13,920	40%	27%	33%
Boundary	10,845	18.4%	2,000	47%	34%	19%
Butte	2,848	14.0%	400	47%	27%	27%
Camas	1,235	17.5%	220	53%	26%	21%
Canyon	186,620	17.4%	32,460	51%	23%	26%
Caribou	6,893	12.9%	890	43%	18%	40%
Cassia	22,491	15.2%	3,430	56%	27%	17%
Clark	847	13.2%	110	68%	22%	10%
Clearwater	8,753	18.0%	1,570	33%	24%	43%
Custer	4,313	14.4%	620	52%	18%	30%
Elmore	26,744	15.8%	4,220	41%	28%	31%
Franklin	12,650	12.4%	1,570	49%	24%	27%
Fremont	13,133	13.2%	1,740	43%	40%	16%
Gem	16,783	17.4%	2,910	43%	18%	38%
Gooding	15,285	14.2%	2,170	56%	18%	27%
Idaho	16,108	17.6%	2,830	47%	27%	26%
Jefferson	25,291	12.8%	3,230	42%	29%	30%
Jerome	21,794	15.9%	3,470	54%	25%	21%
Kootenai	137,178	16.6%	22,790	39%	22%	39%
Latah	36,932	18.4%	6,800	49%	19%	32%
Lemhi	7,895	18.2%	1,430	48%	22%	30%
Lewis	3,785	15.2%	580	54%	29%	17%
Lincoln	5,106	17.2%	880	52%	22%	26%
Madison	36,984	21.3%	7,870	72%	16%	13%
Minidoka	19,740	13.9%	2,750	52%	26%	22%
Nez Perce	39,088	14.6%	5,710	40%	24%	36%
Oneida	4,225	12.6%	530	53%	26%	21%
Owyhee	11,449	15.5%	1,780	72%	17%	11%
Payette	22,596	16.0%	3,620	48%	20%	32%
Power	7,671	14.8%	1,130	48%	37%	16%
Shoshone	12,849	19.7%	2,530	45%	19%	36%
Teton	9,786	13.3%	1,300	40%	28%	32%
Twin Falls	76,129	15.4%	11,760	49%	23%	28%
Valley	9,877	19.1%	1,880	33%	23%	43%
Washington	10,153	15.6%	1,580	52%	27%	21%
State Total[4]	**1,584,985**	**17.3%**	**274,230**	**35%**	**18%**	**47%**

For additional data and maps by county, state, and congressional district, please visit www.feedingamerica.org/mapthegap .

County	Population	Food insecurity rate[2]	Estimated number food insecure individuals (rounded)	Income within the food insecure population[3]		
				% below SNAP threshold of 130% poverty	% between 130% and 185% poverty	% above Nutrition Pgm threshold of 185% poverty

Gundersen, C., Waxman, E., Engelhard, E., Satoh, A., & Chawla, N. Map the Meal Gap 2013: Food Insecurity Estimates at the County Level. Feeding America, 2013. This research is generously supported by the Howard G. Buffett Foundation and The Nielsen Company.

[1]Map the Meal Gap's food insecurity rates are determined using data from the 2001-2011 Current Population Survey on individuals in food insecure households; data from the 2011 American Community Survey on median household incomes, poverty rates, home ownership, and race and ethnic demographics; and 2011 data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics on unemployment rates.

[2] The statistical model for estimating food insecurity in 2013 differs slightly from the model used in 2012. The updated 2013 model includes "homeownership" in addition to the variables used in previous years to account for household assets and help produce more accurate estimates of food insecurity at the local level. For more information about these factors, please see the technical brief or supplemental methodology information on HungerNet.

[3]Numbers reflect percentage of food insecure individuals living in households with incomes within the income bands indicated. Eligibility for federal nutrition programs is determined in part by these income thresholds which can vary by state.

[4]Population and food insecurity data in the state totals row do not reflect the sum of all counties in that state. The state totals are aggregated from the congressional districts data in that state. All data in the state totals row pertaining to the cost of food or the "Meal Gap" reflect state-level data and are not aggregations of either counties or congressional districts.