



Map the Meal Gap 2013

Georgia Child Food Insecurity by County in 2011 ¹



County	Food insecurity rate (full population) ²	Population under 18 years old	Child food insecurity rate ²	Estimated number food insecure children (rounded)	Food insecure children likely income-eligible for federal nutrition assistance ³	Food insecure children likely NOT income-eligible for federal nutrition assistance ³
Appling	18.2%	4,694	33.4%	1,570	85%	15%
Atkinson	21.4%	2,510	38.8%	970	100%	0%
Bacon	17.2%	2,940	28.5%	840	83%	17%
Baker	24.0%	1,126	34.4%	390	70%	30%
Baldwin	24.6%	9,330	29.3%	2,740	65%	35%
Banks	11.9%	4,659	25.3%	1,180	75%	25%
Barrow	13.4%	19,309	25.5%	4,920	70%	30%
Bartow	15.0%	26,662	28.3%	7,540	71%	29%
Ben Hill	23.8%	4,685	30.6%	1,430	82%	18%
Berrien	18.3%	4,863	34.3%	1,670	84%	16%
Bibb	23.0%	40,176	25.7%	10,310	67%	33%
Bleckley	20.4%	2,980	29.6%	880	71%	29%
Brantley	15.2%	4,771	30.8%	1,470	78%	22%
Brooks	18.3%	3,898	25.5%	990	70%	30%
Bryan	13.0%	8,697	24.6%	2,140	50%	50%
Bulloch	22.1%	14,011	27.3%	3,830	70%	30%
Burke	23.4%	6,649	28.3%	1,880	80%	20%
Butts	16.8%	5,220	25.8%	1,350	66%	34%
Calhoun	25.2%	1,338	27.0%	360	76%	24%
Camden	16.1%	13,483	26.4%	3,560	64%	36%
Candler	17.8%	2,775	26.9%	750	96%	4%
Carroll	17.1%	28,265	27.4%	7,750	72%	28%
Catoosa	11.6%	15,936	25.2%	4,020	71%	29%
Chariton	16.3%	2,689	25.6%	690	57%	43%
Chatham	19.7%	59,639	24.1%	14,370	69%	31%
Chattahoochee	24.1%	3,040	31.4%	950	63%	37%
Chattooga	16.6%	5,917	30.5%	1,800	97%	3%
Cherokee	10.3%	57,953	22.2%	12,860	49%	51%
Clarke	21.9%	20,451	28.3%	5,800	72%	28%
Clay	26.5%	947	32.7%	310	65%	35%
Clayton	24.0%	76,457	23.9%	18,300	70%	30%
Clinch	21.2%	1,795	30.6%	550	81%	19%
Cobb	14.8%	176,170	22.3%	39,360	57%	44%
Coffee	21.3%	11,083	33.1%	3,670	87%	13%
Colquitt	18.5%	12,482	30.7%	3,830	74%	26%
Columbia	10.8%	33,139	19.6%	6,500	53%	48%
Cook	20.1%	4,649	31.6%	1,470	78%	22%
Coweta	13.5%	34,162	23.7%	8,090	60%	40%
Crawford	17.1%	2,943	31.8%	940	76%	24%
Crisp	24.8%	6,156	33.9%	2,090	77%	23%
Dade	12.1%	3,642	29.5%	1,080	76%	24%
Dawson	11.8%	5,032	26.8%	1,350	86%	14%
Decatur	23.7%	7,198	31.3%	2,250	73%	28%
DeKalb	21.5%	166,787	22.9%	38,140	64%	36%
Dodge	19.7%	5,060	28.4%	1,440	84%	16%
Dooley	25.1%	3,197	32.7%	1,040	80%	20%
Dougherty	27.5%	24,536	28.3%	6,940	70%	30%
Douglas	17.3%	37,047	21.5%	7,970	64%	36%
Early	22.9%	2,943	29.4%	870	68%	32%
Echols	17.0%	1,141	38.1%	430	85%	16%
Effingham	12.7%	14,750	23.0%	3,390	66%	34%
Elbert	21.3%	4,764	33.1%	1,580	88%	12%
Emanuel	21.1%	5,598	30.3%	1,700	85%	15%
Evans	18.1%	2,858	28.2%	810	78%	22%
Fannin	13.8%	4,442	32.0%	1,420	84%	16%
Fayette	11.0%	28,033	19.0%	5,330	45%	55%
Floyd	17.0%	23,381	30.0%	7,010	74%	26%
Forsyth	8.4%	51,554	20.6%	10,640	39%	61%
Franklin	16.5%	5,000	30.9%	1,550	78%	22%
Fulton	20.7%	217,645	23.3%	50,680	61%	39%
Gilmer	15.2%	6,207	34.0%	2,110	81%	19%
Glascock	17.5%	799	32.4%	260	69%	31%
Glynn	17.8%	19,101	27.2%	5,190	70%	31%
Gordon	15.8%	14,860	32.2%	4,780	79%	21%
Grady	19.2%	6,473	29.9%	1,940	75%	25%
Greene	19.6%	3,197	27.5%	880	78%	22%
Gwinnett	14.1%	231,628	23.5%	54,420	63%	37%
Habersham	14.1%	10,174	32.2%	3,280	83%	17%
Hall	12.7%	49,817	28.7%	14,280	76%	24%
Hancock	30.0%	1,828	27.9%	510	94%	6%
Haralson	15.5%	7,283	30.2%	2,200	82%	18%
Harris	10.2%	7,576	19.2%	1,450	52%	49%
Hart	17.4%	5,671	28.3%	1,610	73%	27%
Heard	17.4%	3,035	34.8%	1,060	75%	25%
Henry	15.3%	58,267	20.4%	11,890	53%	47%
Houston	15.2%	36,953	22.5%	8,330	62%	38%
Irwin	19.2%	2,363	29.6%	700	76%	24%
Jackson	13.5%	15,583	27.0%	4,210	63%	37%
Jasper	17.0%	3,486	27.6%	960	73%	27%
Jeff Davis	19.8%	4,082	34.8%	1,420	73%	27%
Jefferson	25.1%	4,396	30.4%	1,340	75%	25%
Jenkins	25.9%	2,314	38.6%	890	89%	11%
Johnson	21.7%	2,169	30.0%	650	76%	24%
Jones	14.8%	7,484	22.9%	1,720	69%	31%
Lamar	20.0%	3,943	28.8%	1,140	76%	24%
Lanier	17.4%	2,778	28.8%	800	78%	22%

County	Food insecurity rate (full population) ²	Population under 18 years old	Child food insecurity rate ²	Estimated number food insecure children (rounded)	Food insecure children likely income-eligible for federal nutrition assistance ³	Food insecure children likely NOT income-eligible for federal nutrition assistance ³
Laurens	21.2%	12,453	29.0%	3,610	81%	19%
Lee	13.0%	7,947	22.2%	1,760	61%	39%
Liberty	20.6%	19,506	26.1%	5,080	79%	21%
Lincoln	19.0%	1,704	30.4%	520	83%	18%
Long	16.9%	4,135	28.3%	1,170	80%	20%
Lowndes	20.2%	26,369	26.3%	6,930	77%	23%
Lumpkin	14.4%	6,128	29.0%	1,780	64%	36%
McDuffie	20.5%	5,740	27.5%	1,580	79%	21%
McIntosh	17.6%	3,120	22.6%	700	89%	11%
Macon	26.4%	3,419	30.0%	1,020	84%	16%
Madison	13.5%	6,955	27.2%	1,890	91%	10%
Marion	17.7%	2,126	27.0%	570	85%	16%
Meriwether	21.2%	5,307	28.0%	1,490	77%	23%
Miller	15.8%	1,451	23.9%	350	86%	14%
Mitchell	21.5%	5,937	26.5%	1,570	89%	11%
Monroe	14.5%	6,002	23.7%	1,420	79%	21%
Montgomery	18.8%	2,012	27.7%	560	82%	18%
Morgan	15.6%	4,310	23.3%	1,010	71%	30%
Murray	16.4%	10,773	33.1%	3,570	94%	6%
Muscogee	20.9%	48,324	24.9%	12,060	66%	35%
Newton	18.2%	28,460	22.8%	6,480	70%	30%
Oconee	9.0%	9,161	20.8%	1,910	43%	57%
Oglethorpe	13.2%	3,607	22.0%	790	71%	29%
Paulding	12.6%	42,051	21.7%	9,110	56%	44%
Peach	22.4%	6,355	31.7%	2,020	69%	31%
Pickens	12.0%	6,589	26.8%	1,770	75%	25%
Pierce	15.1%	4,835	29.0%	1,400	79%	21%
Pike	12.7%	4,788	25.6%	1,230	55%	45%
Polk	16.6%	10,905	31.4%	3,420	77%	23%
Pulaski	16.3%	2,254	22.7%	510	74%	26%
Putnam	16.4%	4,333	23.7%	1,030	87%	13%
Quitman	23.6%	622	27.3%	170	81%	19%
Rabun	16.1%	3,362	33.4%	1,120	81%	19%
Randolph	23.9%	1,728	30.0%	520	69%	31%
Richmond	23.5%	49,511	27.0%	13,360	66%	34%
Rockdale	18.5%	22,704	21.9%	4,960	58%	42%
Schley	18.7%	1,244	28.3%	350	94%	6%
Screven	22.1%	3,744	26.2%	980	80%	20%
Seminole	19.4%	2,078	28.8%	600	81%	19%
Spalding	21.0%	16,349	29.3%	4,790	74%	26%
Stephens	16.1%	6,146	32.0%	1,970	86%	14%
Stewart	23.1%	1,340	26.9%	360	66%	34%
Sumter	25.3%	8,458	30.4%	2,570	70%	30%
Talbot	21.4%	1,499	21.1%	320	76%	24%
Taliaferro	25.2%	322	36.9%	120	86%	14%
Tattnall	19.1%	5,331	30.5%	1,620	78%	22%
Taylor	23.3%	2,245	29.4%	660	84%	16%
Telfair	26.7%	3,163	36.8%	1,160	81%	19%
Terrell	25.8%	2,413	28.3%	680	69%	31%
Thomas	20.2%	11,252	27.4%	3,080	74%	26%
Tift	20.4%	10,422	30.6%	3,190	76%	24%
Toombs	20.3%	7,680	31.3%	2,400	78%	23%
Towns	11.0%	1,673	27.2%	460	100%	0%
Treutlen	22.4%	1,707	30.8%	530	89%	11%
Troup	20.0%	17,696	28.1%	4,970	75%	26%
Turner	22.0%	2,349	27.2%	640	93%	7%
Twiggs	21.1%	1,961	24.8%	490	78%	22%
Union	12.2%	3,787	27.9%	1,060	78%	22%
Upson	19.2%	6,524	28.1%	1,830	88%	12%
Walker	13.4%	16,205	28.1%	4,550	77%	23%
Walton	14.5%	22,303	25.5%	5,680	73%	27%
Ware	20.2%	8,600	30.4%	2,610	71%	29%
Warren	27.2%	1,386	28.4%	390	70%	30%
Washington	24.0%	5,079	27.3%	1,390	72%	28%
Wayne	18.3%	7,536	29.3%	2,210	81%	19%
Webster	17.7%	416	28.0%	120	81%	19%
Wheeler	21.5%	1,273	28.3%	360	87%	13%
White	14.1%	5,855	29.7%	1,740	79%	21%
Whitfield	15.8%	28,971	34.3%	9,920	77%	23%
Wilcox	21.7%	1,820	32.9%	600	81%	19%
Wilkes	22.0%	2,312	27.8%	640	86%	14%
Wilkinson	18.2%	2,401	26.4%	630	78%	22%
Worth	18.2%	5,432	27.5%	1,490	84%	16%
State Total⁴	20.0%	2,443,197	28.8%	707,390	70%	30%

For additional data and maps by county, state, and congressional district, please visit www.feedingamerica.org/mapthegap.

Gundersen, C., Waxman, E., Engelhard, E., Satoh, A., & Chawla, N. Map the Meal Gap: Child Food Insecurity 2013. This research is generously supported by the Howard G. Buffett Foundation and The Nielsen Company.

¹Map the Meal Gap's child food insecurity rates are determined using data from the 2001-2011 Current Population Survey on children under 18 years old in food insecure households; data from the 2011 American Community Survey on median family incomes for households with children, child poverty rates, home ownership, and race and ethnic demographics among children; and 2011 data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics on unemployment rates.

²The statistical model for estimating food insecurity in 2013 differs slightly from the model used in 2012. The updated 2013 model includes "homeownership" in addition to the variables used in previous years to account for household assets and help produce more accurate estimates of food insecurity at the local level. For more information about these factors, please see the technical brief or supplemental methodology information on HungerNet.

³Numbers reflect percentage of food insecure children living in households with incomes above or below 185% of the federal poverty guideline for 2011. Eligibility for federal child nutrition programs is determined in part by income thresholds which can vary by state.